

REMARKS

With this response, Claims 37-39 and 42-44 are canceled and Claim 45 is amended, as further explained below. Claims 1-35, 40, 41, and 45-52 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claims 37-39 and 42-44 were rejected as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,210,133 ("O'Lenick").

To expedite prosecution, Applicants cancel Claims 37-39 and 42-44 without addressing propriety of this rejection. Applicants reserve the right to pursue any of the canceled claims in a continuation or divisional application. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim Objection

Claim 45 was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base Claim 39 but was found allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claim 45 was amended and rewritten in independent form. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

Allowable Subject Matter


Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating that Claims 1-35, 40, 41, and 46-52 are allowed.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that the application is now in proper form for favorable consideration and allowance. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney for Applicants to discuss any outstanding issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 17, 2010


Edward Timmer (Reg. No. 46,248)
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
The New York Times Building
620 Eighth Avenue
New York, NY 10018-1405
(212) 459-7247; (212) 813-8800